RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline   **Request No.: R96121 A-6**

1. Recommended Action: **Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**
___Accept as requested   _X_Change to Existing Practice
_X_Accept as modified below   ___Status Quo
___Decline

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request: **Per Recommendation:**

_X_Initiation   _X_Initiation
_X_Modification   _X_Modification
___Interpretation   ___Interpretation
___Withdrawal   ___Withdrawal

___Principle (x.1.z)   ___Principle (x.1.z)
___Definition (x.2.z)   ___Definition (x.2.z)
___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)   ___Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
___Document (x.4.z)   ___Document (x.4.z)
___Data Element (x.4.z)   ___Data Element (x.4.z)
_X_Code Value (x.4.z)   _X_Code Value (x.4.z)
_X_X12 Implementation Guide   _X_X12 Implementation Guide
___Business Process Documentation   ___Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.:   Allocation, 2.4.3

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Adjustment Type	C	AMC	Allocation ~~method~~ *Method* correction	*A correction as a result of a change in the Allocation Method used.*
		ADC	Allocation detail correction	*A correction as a result of a change in the allocation parameters used (other than the Allocation Method).*
		AQC	Actual quantity correction	*A correction as a result of a change in the actual quantity.*
		LQC	*Allocated Quantity correction*	*[No definition necesssary]*
		~~SQR~~ *SQC*	Scheduled ~~quantity~~ *Quantity* correction	*[No definition necessary]*
		FQC	*Fuel Quantity correction*	*[No definition necessary]*

1

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline   **Request No.: R96121 A-6**

Document Name and No.:   Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Adjustment Type	C	*AMC*	*Allocation Method correction*	*A correction as a result of a change in the Allocation Method used.*
		ADC	*Allocation detail correction*	*A correction as a result of a change in the allocation parameters used (other than the Allocation Method).*
		AQC	Actual quantity correction	*A correction as a result of a change in the actual quantity.*
		LQC	*Allocated Quantity correction*	*[No definition necesssary]*
		SQC	Scheduled ~~quantity~~ *Quantity* correction	*[No definition necessary]*
		FQC	Fuel ~~quantity~~ *Quantity* correction	*[No definition necessary]*

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:   Allocation (2.4.3)
                                     Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)

Description of Change:
G865ALLC - Allocation (2.4.3)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Detail)" table: for data element Adjustment Type: change "Allocation method correction" to "Allocation Method correction"; add "Allocated Quantity correction" with code "LQC"; change "SQR Scheduled quantity correction" to "SQC Scheduled Quantity correction"; add "Fuel Quantity correction" with code "FQC"; (alphabetize Adjustment Types by Description in table) See Code Values Log
G811IMBL - Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-sub-detail)" table: for data element Adjustment Type: add "Allocation Method correction" with code "AMC"; add "Allocation detail correction" with code "ADC"; add "Allocated Quantity correction" with code "LQC"; change "Scheduled quantity correction" to "Scheduled Quantity correction"; change "Fuel quantity correction" to "Fuel Quantity correction"; (alphabetize Adjustment Types by Description in table.) See Code Values Log

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:
There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:
The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997   17 In Favor   0 Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor :   2 End-Users   4 LDCs   5 Pipelines   3 Producers   3 Services
Opposed: End-Users   LDCs   Pipelines   Producers   Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort.
                              R96121B is assigned to the definitions on the request.

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline　　　　**Request No.: R96121 A-6**

Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997　　　__12__ In Favor　　　　__0__ Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor :　　　__End-Users　　__LDCs　　__Pipelines　　__Producers　　__Services
Opposed:　　　__End-Users　　__LDCs　　__Pipelines　　__Producers　　__Services

Data Element:　　Adjustment Type
Documents:　　　Allocation, 2.4.3, Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4

MOTION:
'Scheduled quantity correction' is listed twice -- different code values for Allocation and Imbalance. Both have the same meaning and therefore, should have same code value. IR recommends 'SQC'. No definition is necessary. In addition, code values were made consistent across the two documents.

Code value descriptions and definitions:
[See table of code values in Section 3 of this Recommendation Form.]

Sense of the Room:　October 15, 1997　　__11__ In Favor　　　　__0__ Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor :　　　__End-Users　　__LDCs　　__Pipelines　　__Producers　　__Services
Opposed:　　　__End-Users　　__LDCs　　__Pipelines　　__Producers　　__Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: April 8, 1998　　　　__5__ In Favor　　　　__0__ Opposed
Segment Check (if applicable):
In Favor :　　　__End-Users　　__LDCs　　__Pipelines　　__Producers　　__Services
Opposed:　　　__End-Users　　__LDCs　　__Pipelines　　__Producers　　__Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Approved modified code values and code value definitions without opposition.